Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,500	SHEN, CHENG-CHUNG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Usmaan Saeed	2166
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Usmaan Saeed</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney, Winston Hsu, Registration No. 41,526.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>11 October 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 5, 10, 12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of air issues. A brief summar	y by the examiner appears	III Fait II above.
		•
Wheem		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the examiner's proposal and gave authorization for examiner's amendment via fax containing examiner's proposed amendment. A copy of the attorneys fax regarding the proposed examiner's amendment with some further changes made by the examiner with applicant's approval is also attached.